United States Patent and Trademark Office - Sales Receipt -

02/08/2006 TROSS1 00000002 012752 10637088

01 FC:1202 250.00 DA

# PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL LETTER

(Large Entity)

Docket No. S-100,120

## TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Transmitted herewith for filing under 35 U.S.C. 111 and 37 C.F.R. 1.53 is the patent application of: Joseph E. Herceg, et al.

For: CONTINUOUS PROCESS ELECTROREFINER

#### Enclosed are:

- ☐ Certificate of Mailing with Express Mail Mailing Label No.
- □ 4 (Informal)

sheets of drawings.

☐ A certified copy of a

application.

☑ Declaration

Signed.

Unsigned.

Power of Attorney

☐ Information Disclosure Statement

Preliminary Amendment

○ Other: Request for NonPublication

#### **CLAIMS AS FILED**

For	#Filed	#Allowed	#Extra		Rate	Fee
Total Claims	19	- 20 =	0	x	\$18.00	\$0.00
Indep. Claims	2	- 3 =	0	×	\$84.00	\$0.00
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable)						\$0.00
					BASIC FEE	\$750.00
					TOTAL FILING FEE	\$750.00

☐ A check in the amount of

to cover the filing fee is enclosed.

M The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account as described below.

01-2752

Charge the amount of

\$750.00

as filing fee.

- Credit any overpayment.
- Charge any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17.
- ☐ Charge the issue fee set in 37 C.F.R. 1.18 at the mailing of the Notice of Allowance, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.311(b).

Dated: August 5, 2003

Betty A. Winchester

**Authorized Signature for Deposit Account 01-2752** 

U. S. Department of Energy

202-586-2810 (FAX 202-586-7127)

CC: CHI/JA

POILARGE/REVOR

BO

# IN THE UNITED ATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS: Joseph E. Herceg, James G. Saiveau, Lubomir Krajtl

SERIAL NO.: 10/637,088

FILED: 08/05/2003

TITLE: CONTINUOUS PROCESS ELECTROREFINER

**EXAMINER: Harry D. Wilkins III** 

**GROUP: 1742** 

DOE NO.: S-100120

**CUSTOMER NUMBER: 31970** 

\*31970\*

TENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

### **AMENDMENT**

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear sir:

In response to the Office Action of November 7, 2005, Applicants affirm their election of 9-19. All inventors remain inventions with respect to the elected claims.

Please amend claims 9, 10, 12-17 and cancel claim 11. Please add new claims 20-26.

## **REMARKS**

### **REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. 112**

Claim 9 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification does not cover the means plus function language of the claim.

Claim 9 has been amended to recite the structure described in the specification. 'A hopper ...' has been added. Support is on page 13, line 15.